

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION N	10.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/648,164		08/26/2003	· Larry D. Kinsman	3396.8US (97-0696.08/US) 3536	
24247	7590	11/30/2006		EXAMINER	
TRASK	BRITT		TRAN, TAN N		
P.O. BOX 2550 SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84110				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		,		2826	
				DATE MAILED: 11/20/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	10/648,164	KINSMAN ET AL.	
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit	
	TAN N. TRAN	2826	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 16 November 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	S APPLICATION IN CONDITION FO	OR ALLOWANCE.	
<ol> <li>The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:</li> <li>The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire is Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or expired the statutory period for reply expire is a checked.</li> </ol>	wing replies: (1) an amendment, affitice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in content of the reply must of the final rejection.  Individual set for the date set for the date than SIX MONTHS from the mailing the replication.	idavit, or other eviden compliance with 37 CF ust be filed within one in the final rejection, whigh date of the final rejection.	ice, which FR 41.31; or (3) of the following ichever is later. In on.
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	06.07(f).		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing date.	of the fee. The appropri- inally set in the final Office te of the final rejection, e	ate extension fee ce action; or (2) as even if timely filed,
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS</li> </ol>	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	s of the date of e appeal. Since
<ol> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,</li> <li>They raise new issues that would require further co</li> <li>They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo</li> <li>They are not deemed to place the application in bel appeal; and/or</li> </ol>	nsideration and/or search (see NO w); tter form for appeal by materially rec	TE below); ducing or simplifying t	
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).			
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1.		mpliant Amendment (	(PTOL-324).
<ul> <li>5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)</li> <li>6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ul>		timely filed amendme	nt canceling the
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is protected. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: 1-13. Claim(s) objected to: 24. Claim(s) rejected: 14-16 and 19-21. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 17,18,22 and 23.	☐ will not be entered, or b) ☑ wil vided below or appended.	I be entered and an e	explanation of
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good answas not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>	d sufficient reasons why the affidav	it or other evidence is	necessary and
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fail	ls to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ed.
<ol> <li>The request for reconsideration has been considered bu <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u></li> </ol>	t does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowar	nce because:
<ul><li>12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).</li><li>13. ☐ Other:</li></ul>	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
			į

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's arguments filed 11/16/06 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

It is argued, at page 3 of the remarks, that "Perino includes no express or inherent description of a retainer with at least one receptacle that is configured to receive and edge of at least one semiconductor device"; "Perino does not expressly or inherently describe that the clip 430,830 actually includes a receptacle configured to receive an edge of a semiconductor device"; and "it is not necessary, or inherent, that the clips 430,830 of Perino include a receptacle configured to receive an edge of a semiconductor device". However, it is inherent that a retainer 830 having at least one receptacle configured to receive another edge of the at least one semiconductor device 840 in order to retain the semiconductor chip in the base because fig. 9 does show the edge of the semiconductor device formed in the clip 830. Note, lines 49-50 in column 10 of Perino teach that a retaining clip 830 for helping to retain chip 840 in base 810, are cited to support for the inherent position. Thus, applicant's claims 14-16,19-21 do not distinguish over Perino reference..

EVAN PERT PRIMARY EXAMINER